

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION

[Decorative separator consisting of a series of small, repeating symbols.]

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

1

under the wrong event, Plaintiffs refill the objections on June 6, 2014 [Doc. #50]. On June 9, 2014, Defendants filed a motion to strike the objections as untimely. Plaintiffs filed no response to the motion to strike nor did they request that the court consider the late objections. The objections were filed late and will not be considered by the court. The court grants the motion to strike.

Having received the report of the United States Magistrate Judge, and no objections thereto having been timely filed, this court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and adopts the Magistrate Judge's report as the findings and conclusions of the court.

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Objection to Report and Recommendation on Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. #51] is **GRANTED**.

It is further **ORDERED** that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. #37] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiffs' case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **18** day of **July, 2014**.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Clark", is positioned above a horizontal line.

Ron Clark, United States District Judge